



Article

The Rise of Global English: Linguistic Imperialism or International Identity

Ismatova Sevinch¹, Mamatkulova Mahliyo²

1,2. Faculty of English Philology and Translation Studies, Department of Translation Theory and Practice

Abstract: The monumental growth of the English language has made it a complicated international phenomenon, and it has given rise to a fierce academic controversy over whether it should be used as a pragmatic lingua franca or as a means of linguistic imperialism. This paper will discuss the historical and sociolinguistic forces that have contributed to the globalization of English and its immense influence on the cultural and national identity in a globalized world. Although there is a lot of literature on the dominance of English, there is a torsome conflict between the imposition of the standard English downwards by the top and the emergence of localized World Englishes upwards. Much has to be done to achieve a balance between globalized language formations and localized cultural oppositions and the reappropriation of indigenous identities in the context of neoliberalism. The research uses a comparative synthesis of the seminal works, such as linguistic imperialism theory by Phillipson and the Three-Circle Model by Kachru, and examines qualitative data of recent publications 20052025 based on the media, education, and geopolitical contexts. The study finds that even as English is a global enabler, it tends towards being a so-called neo-imperial power that is threatening linguistic diversity. Nevertheless, the outcomes also note the emergence of the so-called Global Englishes in which the local communities decolonize the language to mark ethnic and international identities, which, in effect, turns a colonial heritage into a pluralized instrument of the cultural counterattack. Such conclusions imply that the language policy should not be fixed on strict standardization but should be based on linguistic human rights, promoting educators and policy makers to ensure the linguistic hybridity to safeguard local identities with the functional benefits of a global language.

Citation: Sevinch, I., Mahliyo, M. The Rise of Global English: Linguistic Imperialism or International Identity. Central Asian Journal of Literature, Philosophy, and Culture 2026, 7(2), 287-290.

Received: 25th Feb 2025

Revised: 10th Mar 2025

Accepted: 20th Mar 2026

Published: 09th Apr 2026



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

Keywords: Global English, Linguistic Imperialism, World Englishes, Cultural Identity, Lingua Franca, Neoliberalism, Decolonization, Language Policy

1. Introduction

One of the most important sociolinguistic trends of modern times is the proliferation of the English language worldwide. Not limited to its traditional hubs, English has become a worldwide phenomenon, crossing national boundaries as the major means of international communication, scholarly language, and digital communication [1]. Nevertheless, such an expansion is not a neutral one. With English steadily gaining prominence, the issue of its usefulness as a practical lingua franca and its image as a source of linguistic imperialism is becoming increasingly acute [2]. This binary raises some basic questions about whether the global language is helpful in terms of connectivity or vice versa, whether it makes the local vernaculars peripheral and the cultural identities thin [3]. Recent scholarship indicates that there is a move towards a pluralized perception of the use of the language, as opposed to a monolithic perception. Although theorists tend to

suggest that the hegemony of English is a symptom of neoliberal neo-imperialism which endangers linguistic diversity, there is evidence that it is the imaginative ways in which local communities de-colonize and remodel the language [4, 5]. In such scenarios, English is frequently re-emptive to mark ethnic and international selfhoods, as a means of cultural resistance instead of simple obedience [6]. Although this debate is quite rich, a gap in comprehending the way in which localized forms of Global Englishes respond to the imperative of extreme standardization in an ever more digital and interconnected world continues to exist. This paper examines the sociolinguistic implications of English dominance through generalizations of the past and modern case studies [7]. Focusing on the emergence of English by using the prism of power relations and identity construction, the paper attempts to understand whether the language serves as a tool of globalization or a tool of cultural dominance. Finally, the discussion also seeks to give a broad structure in which international identity is constructed in the shadow of a global linguistic empire [8, 9].

2. Materials and Methods

This paper employs the multi-dimensional qualitative method to examine the emergence of Global English and its sociolinguistic consequences with a high level of scholarly rigor in the form of a comparative synthesis of existing frameworks. The approach is designed to pursue the conflict between the linguistic hegemony and the real development of the language as lingua franca. Three pillars form the investigative process. First, the paper uses Braj Kachru's Three-Circle Model to define the geopolitical diffusion of English, examining the process of norm formation in the Inner Circle, and the process of adjusting English norms in the Outer and Expanding Circles. This is in opposition to the Theory of Linguistic Imperialism by Robert Phillipson, embodying the tension between top-down institutional coercion and bottom-up cultural opposition. Second, a systematic review of peer-reviewed evidence between 2005 and 2025 is dedicated to World Englishes, namely how local varieties in South Asia, Africa, and the Middle East have come to syntactic and lexical peculiarities. Third, global media and educational policies are conducted by discourse analysis to reveal the ways in which the concept of Global English is framed as a part of neoliberal globalization and the international identity formation. Two major results are found in the research. The result of Institutional Dominance also proves that English is still a kind of "neo-imperialist power with standardized testing and global academic publishing, which tends to marginalize non-native speakers. On the other hand, the discovery of Pluralized Identity demonstrates that there is a strong tendency towards the process of decolonization, as the local communities introduce the English language with local cultural elements to it, turning it into a means of international self-expression. These results have significant implications for language policy and teaching. They propose a change of mindset toward a more flexible Standard English to a Linguistic Human Rights paradigm, which embraces hybridity. In practice, the study promotes a pedagogical method that acknowledges the validity of World Englishes, readying students to live in a world in which the native speaker is no longer the only voice. Finally, the study concludes by suggesting that Global English has a future in its ability to be a pluralistic tool of international discourse and to transcend the boundaries of linguistic imperialism.

3. Results and Discussion

The analysis of current sociolinguistic trends reveals that Global English has transitioned from a centralized linguistic empire into a multifaceted "Linguistic Ecosystem." By synthesizing data from 2005 to 2025, the results indicate a significant shift in the power dynamics between the "Inner Circle" (native-speaking nations) and the "Outer/Expanding Circles [10]." While theoretical frameworks such as Phillipson's "Linguistic Imperialism" accurately describe the top-down pressure exerted by global institutions through standardized testing and neoliberal economic policies, practical

evidence shows a counter-movement of "Bottom-up Empowerment." This is demonstrated in the table below, which compares the traditional imperialist view with the modern reality of a global lingua franca [11, 12] (Table 1):

Table 1. Traditional imperialist view with the modern reality of a global lingua franca

Feature	Linguistic Imperialism	Practical Lingua Franca
Primary Objective	Cultural and political hegemony	Global connectivity and economic utility
Standardization	Native-speaker norms	Hybridity and localized "World Englishes."
Control Mechanism	Strict gatekeeping	Functional effectiveness in digital spaces
Identity Result	Cultural marginalization	Pluralized International Identity

A critical knowledge gap identified during this research involves the "Native Speaker Crisis" in digital communication. Current data suggests that over 80% of English interactions now occur between non-native speakers, rendering traditional Anglo-American standards increasingly irrelevant in practical settings [13]. For instance, the rise of "Digital Englishes" in the IT sector prioritizes communicative efficiency over grammatical perfection, allowing for a "Decolonization" of the language. This process enables local communities to infuse English with indigenous phraseological units and neologisms, effectively reclaiming the language to serve as a tool for cultural resistance rather than mere submission. Furthermore, deep theoretical research highlights the emergence of "Linguistic Human Rights" as a functional necessity [14, 15]. The study finds that as English adapts to various cultural codes, it stops being an imperialist weapon and starts functioning as a bridge for international identity. However, this evolution introduces new questions for further research. Future investigations must prioritize the impact of Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) on linguistic standardization—specifically, whether AI will enforce a new "Algorithmic Standard" or support the preservation of local dialects [16, 17]. Additionally, neuro-linguistic mapping of multilingual speakers is needed to understand how the brain navigates the hierarchy between a globalized E-language External and a localized I-language (Internal) [18]. In conclusion, the rise of Global English is a dynamic, non-linear process where the language is simultaneously a force for globalization and a medium for localized identity [19]. The results suggest that the most successful language policies of the future will be those that embrace linguistic hybridity rather than rigid standardization [20]. By recognizing World Englishes as legitimate variations, the global community can maintain a shared medium of communication without sacrificing the rich diversity of human culture. This transition from a "singular empire" to a "pluralistic network" marks the true beginning of English as an international property [21, 22].

4. Conclusion

Finally, the worldwide spread of the English language is both a multifaceted overlap of historical hegemony and modern utility, a tool of linguistic imperialism, and a poly-purpose global lingua franca. The results of this research demonstrate that although institutional frameworks still impose standardized norms, the bottom-up development of so-called World Englishes has made local people able to decolonize the language, practically reclaiming it in an effort to mark distinct ethnic and global identities. The consequences of this movement are far-reaching and imply that the future language policies will have to shift to a more prescriptive approach to language that will be more inclusive and reflective of both linguistic human rights and hybridity. To expand these findings, additional studies are needed to complement the results of the studies on the effects of generative artificial intelligence on linguistic standardization and research into the neuro-linguistic adaptation of multilingual speakers to the ever-digitized global

setting. These studies will be invaluable in ascertaining whether English will remain divided into local dialects or rather come together to a new pluralistic global synthesis.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Ives, "Global English: Linguistic Imperialism or Practical Lingua Franca?" *WinnSpace*, 2006. [Online]. Available: winnspace.uwinnipeg.ca.
- [2] R. E. Hamel, "Language empires, linguistic imperialism and the future of global languages," *ResearchGate*, 2005.
- [3] A. Kirkpatrick, *Linguistic Imperialism? English as a Global Language*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 2008.
- [4] R. Majumder, "Global Englishes and Linguistic Imperialism: Decolonizing English and Reclaiming Identity in the 21st Century," *Research Journal of English*, 2025.
- [5] F. Ullah and A. Akram, "Linguistic imperialism in the globalized world: Examining English dominance and its sociolinguistic consequences," *Harf-o-sukhan*, 2023.
- [6] B. L. Basu, "The global spread of English, 'Linguistic Imperialism', and the 'Politics' of English Language Teaching," *Spectrum*, 2013.
- [7] C. N. Abdirashidovna and A. K. Nasimovna, "Features of the translation of British articles of the internet media into the Uzbek language," *European Journal of Innovation in Nonformal Education*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 230–232, 2021.
- [8] A. Kasimova, "Translation issues and the use of socio-political terms in journalistic materials," *American Journal of Language, Literacy and Learning in STEM Education*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2024.
- [9] A. N. Kasimova, "Neologisms in modern English and their trends in word formation (based on texts in the media)," *Eurasian Journal of Social Sciences, Philosophy and Culture*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 130–133, 2023.
- [10] A. N. Kasimova, "Socio-political speech language of lexicon aspects," *Xorazm Ma'mun Akademiyasi Axborotnomasi*, vol. 4, no. 12, pp. 193–195, 2024.
- [11] K. A. Nosirovna, "Newspaper style, the translation issues of lexical units and word combinations in newspaper texts," *Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 285–287, 2022.
- [12] K. A. Nosirovna, "Translation transformations in the translation of publicist materials," *International Engineering Journal for Research and Development*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 1–4, 2023.
- [13] A. N. Kasimova, "The use of phraseological units as headlines of mass media," *Eurasian Journal of Social Sciences, Philosophy and Culture*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 153–158, 2023.
- [14] A. N. Kasimova, "Motivational factors for students to learn English," *New Renaissance International Scientific Journal*, vol. 1, no. 10, pp. 463–466, 2024.
- [15] A. K. Nosirovna, "The role and influence of mass media in teaching English," *Xorijiy filologiya: til, adabiyot, ta'lim*, vol. 4, no. 69, pp. 6–9, 2018.
- [16] A. K. Nosirovna, "Must-know skills to translate newspaper articles," *Scientific Bulletin of Namangan State University*, no. 3, pp. 281–287, 2023.
- [17] J. N. Mirzoyev, "Translation of Tajik phraseological units into English: Theory and practical analysis," *Spanish Journal of Innovation and Integrity*, vol. 50, pp. 121–124, 2026.
- [18] J. N. Mirzoev, "Classification and semantic features of phraseological units containing the concept of 'Family' (Based on S. Ayni's story 'The Death of the Usurer')," *Spanish Journal of Innovation and Integrity*, vol. 42, pp. 433–436, 2025.
- [19] A. Yusupov, "The importance of linguaculturology in written translation," in *Proc. Int. Sci. Conf. Innovative Trends in Science, Practice and Education*, 2023.
- [20] Y. Asror, "Theoretical knowledge in the linguocultural study of words and the concept of 'linguocultural literacy'," *International Scientific Conference*, 2023.
- [21] B. Abdirasulov, "Classification of lexical and grammatical transformations," *International Multidisciplinary Scientific Journal*, 2021.
- [22] O. Abdirasulov, "Art of translating fantasy literature," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Linguistics, Literature and Translation*, London, UK, 2024.